

Subcouncil - Matters Receiving Attention Report

Subcouncil 14

Agenda Item No:	14SUB 3/9/2019 SM	1	HOUSING MATTERS IN SUBCOUNCIL 14
Author:	Cecilia Thiem;		
How Resolved:	Consensus		
Meeting Date:	2019/09/26		
Outstanding:	495		
Directorate:	HUMAN SETTLEMENTS		
Department:	Human Settlement Implementation		
Preamble:			
Will request reports from the department for the next Subcouncil meeting			
Resolution Details:			
Will request reports from the department for the next Subcouncil meeting			

Agenda Item No:	14SUB 8/10/2019	2	ROLL-OUT NATIONAL SOLAR WATER HEATER PROGRAMME BY THE DEPARTMENT OF MINERAL RESOURCES AND ENERGY (DMRE) AND CETRAL ENERGY FUND (CEF) IN CITY OF CAPE TOWN: SOCIAL FACILITATION FOR TECHNICAL FERSIBILITY ASSESSMENT
Author:	Sarah Ward;		
How Resolved:	Consensus		
Meeting Date:	2019/10/21		
Outstanding:	478		
Directorate:	ENERGY AND CLIMATE CHANGE		
Department:	Sustainable Energy Markets		

Preamble:

Lerato April of the Department of Mineral Resources and Energy (DMRE) indicated that the Department intends to reduce energy poverty with the rollout of solar systems nationally. They indicated that they met with some of the ward councillors at a meeting during October 2018. In this meeting it was agreed that Subcouncil need to be briefed prior to implementation. A Municipal Framework Agreement was signed with the City of Cape Town showing interest in the project. The Department allocated 5000 geysers to the City of Cape Town which was allocated across 8 areas. Some of the area areas are Atlantis, Morning Star, Hazeldene, Gugulethu area 3 and 4, Rondevlei.

A service provider has been appointed to do a technical assessment of roof structures and water pressure.

The official requests possible technical facilitators and assistance with their engagements with the communities. They need to go to the beneficiaries to get buy-in asking how they understand the project, identifying the challenges and to explain we only have so many systems and the areas identified.

Training will be conducted together with the Department of Labour. Funding has been secured for training. City of Cape Town is to submit a list of potential trainees. DMRE want to start around November 2019. Local plumbers having N3 – N6 qualification can become technical assessment assistants. This will be a two-week task. They need to be short screened.

The implementation process will take place in 3 phases:

- Technical assessment study – water sampling and roof testing
- Training – Colleges have been appointed
- Installation –these are open tenders. Businesses should apply.

Poppy Mafenuka of the Department of Labour (DOL) indicated that recruitment of local labour will be done using the DOL database. People from affected areas will be recruited. People will be sourced from those areas, unless they cannot find people who meet the minimum criteria.

Cllr Manata indicated a certain Sicelo visited her office looking for a venue for training. She enquired whether he is part of the projects and is known to the project team.

Cllr Manata requested information about how the process of recruitment will be done given the City of Cape Town Jobseekers Database, as well as Street Committee databases.

Cllr Zondani welcomed the report. He requested that the officials prepare a new presentation, because in a meeting held in Cape Town during 2018 different information was presented. He asked which wards will benefit from the solar heating systems and indicated that Subcouncil have to know which wards and areas in Gugulethu will be part of this. He requested that Cllrs must get involved. Councilors should get involved and be very clear about the project because this will cause conflict amongst people. We know the challenges facing our communities.

Sarah Ward indicated that the City requested for specific maps of the project areas. One thousands and five (1005) solar systems have been assigned to Subcouncil 14 area. The area is the Gugulethu infill project. The area must have relatively new RDP housing. It must be a discreet community. The demand is for up to 250 000 units. The City have been assigned only 5000 systems. These are very tiny projects. Human Settlements are tasked to choose areas that are newer and therefore less likely to have problems with the roof structures.

The Chairperson indicated her problem is that the officials are not clear. It appears as if officials came to Subcouncil with half the information. She felt strongly that there is no way that DOL can use your own database for recruitment of local labour. As things stand we are already having a challenge of unemployment.

Chairperson indicated that ERF 8448 is across from where the Subcouncil sit. There are no houses there. Houses are still to be built which is probably why it was chosen. Also it is important to consult the beneficiaries. Subcouncil should be clarified. Human Settlements still owes the community a meeting regarding specific issues related to the housing project. We would have to defer this item.

Poppy Mafenuka indicated that the DOL has its own database of beneficiaries. People can go to the nearest DOL office. The Unemployment Insurance Funds(UIF) caters for the beneficiaries. Candidates must not be working currently, whether they claimed UIF or not. Sourcing will be done based for areas identified. It is highly possible that there is a duplication between the two databases. In a meeting with the City of Cape Town it was resolved that the Department of Labour will do 100% of recruitment using its database.

Chairperson indicated this project in Subcouncil 14 affects 5 wards. Gugulethu consists of 9 wards. Addresses is an issue of contention. Those staying very far from the sites working on the site results in unfortunate happenings leading to project delays. Chairperson requested that the officials meet councilors prior to implementation. The councilor of ward 37 have not been consulted. Wards 40 and 44 in Subcouncil 11 are also affected. A joint meeting is required. Officials must have answers to deal with the issues. They must be aware of the dynamics of our communities?

Cllr Zondani welcomed the chairperson's response. He indicated that he was one of the councillors invited to attend the meeting in town. They were involved as wards who would benefit. They never raised an issue of an erf that has no structures. We would have told them. What we hear today is different. I would like to know what has changed. I would like the team to go back and rethink their plan.

You don't just do. He contends that we rather lose the project than have chaos in Subcouncil 14 communities.

Cllr Ngxumza indicated that sitting here he is getting more confused the more the officials respond. He disagrees with their comments. He detects a sense of urgency in the responses. This project is not going to happen for there are no units built.

Cllr Ngxumza indicated that two things namely addresses and the database are thorny issues. He just disagrees with the approach which does not involve the City database.

Cllr Bafo supports what Cllr Zondani alluded to. Officials must go back and provide updated information. The point of departure must be that the roof structure is a problem. The area was established in 1959.

Sara Ward from Sustainable Energy Markets (SEM) indicated that this report was done in October 2018. The project was supposed to be finished this year already. The site is 8448/Maumau. The project was put forward even though at the time there weren't any structures built. More than 100 units were to have been built already.

She apologized for using the term RDP houses indicating it comes from her time running DAG. She will rather call it subsidy housing. In areas where houses were not built the systems can be installed in another area. It could be beneficial to fit the systems as the houses are being built. Sara Ward requested that Subcouncil bear with Departments of Human Settlements. A report of the meeting in October 2018 can be made available.

Chairperson indicated that an engagement is required. Subcouncil raised its challenges and need to be clear. She requests an opportunity to guide officials in the implementation. Chairperson referred to page 71 highlighting social facilitation. This requested meeting is part of that process. Local plumbers will need to benefit. Consultation with that sector need to happen.

Chairperson indicated that at ERF 8448 there are no houses. There must be no pressure to implement. We must ensure a step by step smooth process. We would like to assist. Another meeting must be facilitated by the Subcouncil Manager.

Poppy Mafenuka of DOL explained that people will be trained how to install the solar systems. The group will be made up of 70% who contributed and 30% those who did not contribute to UIF. During the technical feasibility 5 assistants and 5 plumbers will be required. Subcouncil database can be used if they find nobody on their database that qualifies.

Lebogang Mosenthal of DMRE apologises for not coming with all information indicating they would welcome the further engagement. The DMRE are under the impression that consultation has happened and under impression houses are there. This means that DMRE cannot even begin with social facilitation. Even recruitment of service provider will have to be delayed. The meeting should be held ASAP. The project is supposed to be already on the ground.

Cllr Yozi indicated that the comments from councillors are correct. Manata, Zondani and Ngxumza are involved and affected by the Gugulethu infill housing project. There are other areas that meet the requirements. Lets not close the

issue.

Other areas recently build with new houses

Chairperson indicated that Subcouncil need to clear about the decisions of the October 2018 meeting.

Cllr Ngxumza indicated that this meeting must take place urgently. He requested that Cllr Nyingwa must also be invited. He indicated excitement for the project and requested that issues need to be clarified before implementation.

Cllr Zondani posed a question to DMRE whether the money will be lost if houses are not built in the current financial year.

Lerato April indicated that training institutions applied via public tender process. They would have been looking at possible training venues. This is one of the factors used to assess the viability of their submission. It could be that one of the companies that approached Councilor Manata.

The training will be accredited by EWSETA who will inspect the quality of the work done. The programme must be done in this financial year. DMRE would appreciate if the City could finalize the list by end of this month so training can start beginning November 2019. At least there must be something that is taking place.

Chairperson indicated that at MauMau there are houses. Other areas as recommended by Cllr Yozi must also be considered.

Lebogang Mosenthal indicated that the funding agreement was supposed to be implemented last year, it was rolled over to this financial year. As a result of the delay of the process, funding was ring-fenced to start implementation this year. DMRE want to finish the project this year. 87000 systems have already been manufactured. We will have to finish the project this year.

Resolution Details:

It was resolved that the report on roll-out of National Solar Water Heater Programme by the Department of Mineral Resources and Energy (DMRE) and Central Energy Fund (CEF) in City of Cape Town: Social Facilitation for Technical Feasibility Assessment **BE NOTED.**

It was resolved that the Subcouncil Manager arrange an urgent meeting with Department of Minerals and Energy, Department Human Settlements, Department of Labour, affected Ward Councillors and Department of Energy and Climate Change to facilitate the implementation process including consultation with the affected communities.

It was resolved that MauMau be the preferred site given its 434 houses that are newly built and are implementation ready. That councilors must come with proposals for the allocation of the rest of the 1005 solar systems.

It was resolved that that the minutes of the October 2018 consultation meeting be made available to Subcouncil.

Department: **Sustainable Energy Markets**

Responsible Officer(RO): **Leila Mahomed Weideman**

Response Date **Comment from RO**

2020/09/21

The National Dept of Minerals Resources & Energy (DMRE) - Solar Water Heater Programme has been further delayed since the presentation last year, notably the implementation of the training scheduled for last year November is only starting this month with an induction. More recently due to Covid19, the programme has been impacted further and it is not clear from the DMRE what the schedule for the training sessions will look like in the near future.

In the attached memo signed by the ED: Human Settlements (signed 23/07/2020) and MayCo member for Human Settlements (signed 04/08/2020), Subcouncil 14 will receive 1049 out of the 4 872 Solar Water Heaters (SWHs), for Mau Mau, Manenberg infill and KTC3.

Demarcation Area Allocation Atlantis Kanonkop (Ext 12) Phase 1 - Area 1 207 Heideveld Duinefontein - Area 3 736 Morkels Cottage Housing PROJECT- Area 2 323 Hazendal Infill (Bokmakierie)- Area 3 153 Pelikan Park Phase 1 - Area 4 2013 Rondevlei Area 4 225 Morningstar Housing development - Area 1 166 Gugulethu Erf 2849 MauMau
227 Manenberg Infill
587 (314 units completed. 273 under construction) KTC 3
(Nyanga) 235 **Total 4872**

The Technical Feasibility Assessments of roof structures and water pressure must still be completed (the consultants contract ended during lockdown and DMRE is still trying to extend their contract). Installer assistant training is likely to happen simultaneously with the technical feasibility assessments. Installer assistants have however been identified from the beneficiary areas as far as possible. We still await an updated timeline for the project from DMRE.

We will ask DMRE to meet with all ward councillors once the technical feasibility assessments have been completed. We do not advise that you have a meeting with DMRE yet, as they do not yet have updated timelines for implementation. We will also request DMRE to send minutes for the meeting that was held in October 2019. We are currently populating the beneficiary lists to send to DMRE.

We request the ward councillors contact details for MauMau, Manenberg Infill and KTC3.

Agenda Item No:	14SUB 11/11/2019	3	REPORT ON INVESTIGATION INTO THE CAPACITY OF THE SEWER INFRASTRUCTURE IN THE GUGULETHU AND NYANGA AREAS OF SUB COUNCIL 14
Author:	Randall Josephs;		
How Resolved:	Consensus		
Meeting Date:	2019/11/18		
Outstanding:	458		
Directorate:	WATER AND WASTE SERVICES		
Department:	Water and Sanitation Management		

Preamble:

Randall Josephs explained that the department used its modelling system and determined that the sewer system in question have sufficient capacity to carry the loads. The major cause of the blockages is the abuse of the system, namely that foreign objects are thrown in the drain. The pumps are not designed for some of the things found in the pipeline or arriving at the pump station.

Cllr Yozi the motion was written because of the persistent problem of sewer blockages and overflows. The blame is squarely with the department.

Cllr Ngxumza indicated the problem must be addressed transversally. This is one of the failures of the transversal system. Human Settlements and Solid Waste are also involved and have a role to play.

Cllr Dambuza indicated that people of Nyanga and Gugulethu are expected to turn the other cheek, which is not going to happen. NY 6 could literally be called Street of Sewage. You have a situation where this street is affected on a weekly basis. You have an old lady with three four drains in her yard. Complaints are raised and the department takes three days to respond. People have no faith in the system.

Randall Josephs indicated that the biggest problem is perception.

The system is designed anticipating future development and growth in an area. The CBD and Woodstock are areas where no major upgrades of the sewer line were done over the last 100 years. This is designed using scientific methods, factoring densification as well as backyarders. All new development requires a 200mm pipe to be installed.

Cllr Yozi indicated he doubts that the level of development seen in these areas could have been anticipated in the 1950's. What is the problem. The level of urbanization and growth and erection of informal settlements. What volumes where the infrastructure designed for?

Cllr Ngxumza indicated that some of the officials who work on the system indicate that it requires work. Mr. Josephs indicated those individuals are not qualified to make that assessment. Cllr Yozi indicates that councilors take their cue from the officials.

Cllr Ngxumza raised a concern about staff deployed to breakdowns. They sometimes take a week to respond. The department must come up with public awareness programmes. He can mention at least 5 NGO and organizations it can partners with.

Cllr Bafo asked how is it possible that a system designed for 5000 people can perform at the same level with a catchment population of 100 000 people without breaking down.

Chairperson raised concern of property owners who indicates they would prefer the City remove the sewers from their yards. This because the City says it is not its problem when sewer overflows into their houses. It is the City's sewers they can have them.

Mr. Josephs indicated that this area receives more resources in terms of maintenance. A project to move the midblock sewers are also being implemented to alleviate the challenges experienced with maintenance in people's properties. The model at this point show no problems it only shows two sections to be assessed.

Cllr Zondani is concerned that the official is shifting the blame to us, councilors and the community. He indicated he would have preferred a one of one, more informal discussion where as happened with Solid Waste during the Activities Day. Sometimes councilors are also left in the dark, especially when community members approach them with issues. They are then in the same position as a member of the public.

Chairperson indicated that there must be rule that for example when 3 blockages in the same spot within a two-month period an investigation must be done. We are not happy. NY13 and NY99 are not accommodated in your plan. We will require you to assess the hotspots which councilors will submit as soon as possible

Resolution Details:

It was resolved that the report on Investigation into the capacity of the sewer infrastructure in the Gugulethu and Nyanga areas of Sub Council 14 **BE NOTED**

It was resolved that Subcouncil 14 would provide a list of hotspots to the Department of Water and Sanitation for assessment.

It was resolved that the Department of Water and Sanitation would provide feedback of the investigation

Agenda Item No:	14SUB 3/6/2020 SM	4	PROJECT IDENTIFICATION- R2 MILLION MURP ALLOCATION TO SUB COUNCIL 14
Author:	Anthony Daniels;		
How Resolved:	Consensus		
Meeting Date:	2020/06/12		
Outstanding:	309		
Directorate:	URBAN MANAGEMENT		
Department:	Area Management		

Preamble:

The Subcouncil Manager introduced the item indicating that Subcouncil 14 was allocated R2m operational funding to implement projects during the 2020/2021 financial year. Subcouncil 14 has discretion to decide on the projects and funding allocation per project. It must apply the guidelines provided by the Mayco Member for Urban Management, set out in the attached memo.

The Subcouncil Manager indicated initially the Subcouncil Chairperson consulted with councillors and it was recommended to do related to Neighborhood Watches and repairs and maintenance of walkways Furthermore, an email request was sent to departments to submit proposals for the utilization of the R2m.

The following responses were received from departments

- Arts and Culture provided its full service offering for Subcouncil to consider including Connecting with Communities, Capacity Building, Skills Development Opportunities and Improving Access
- Libraries and Information Services declined to propose projects at this time due to the requirements related to COVID-19 as set out in the referred to memo
- Transport Network Development provided a list of approved traffic calming measures for consideration
- Informal Settlements proposed appointment of two fieldworkers in informal settlements across Subcouncil 14 at a cost of R440 000
- Roads Infrastructure & Management indicated they will be able to provide a more definitive answer once their operational budget becomes available
- Environmental Management proposed implementing the Green Jobs project along the Lotus and Silverstream Canal for R1m
- Recreation and Parks proposed tennis court fence repairs at NY 74 in ward 38 at a cost of R500 000
- Recreation and Parks proposed resurfacing of Lusaka park in Ward 39 for R300 000

The Subcouncil Chairperson reminded councillors of previous discussions to allocate R1m towards in support of Neighbourhood Watch members. She referred to the councillors who proposed similar projects from their ward allocations for the 202/2021 financial year.

Resolution Details:

It was resolved that:

The proposals from various line departments **BE NOTED**

The proposals of Environmental Management for R1m to implement the Green Jobs Project at the Lotus Canal and Silverstream Canal **BE SUPPORTED**

That the allocation of R1m to implement Safety Ambassadors project in all wards of Subcouncil 14 **BE SUPPORTED**
A Scoping Document and Project Charter be developed for each project

The Subcouncil Manager to provide monthly updates to Subcouncil by placing this item on the MRA items of the Subcouncil Agenda

Agenda Item No:	14SUB 7/10/2020 SM	5	SUBCOUNCIL 14: THE ESTABLISHMENT OF NYANGA INITIATION SITE AD HOC TASK TEAM
Author:	Anthony Daniels		
How Resolved:	Consensus		
Meeting Date:	2020/10/08		
Outstanding:	225		
Directorate:	URBAN MANAGEMENT		
Department:	Area Management		
Preamble:			
<p>Mr. Daniels mentioned that the purpose of the report is to seek support to the Subcouncil to recommend the establishment of the task team in terms of delegations 11, this report was for noting and for the Subcouncil to be updated on the progress of the task team. He mentioned that on numerous occasion Cllr Ngxumza raised the challenges of the initiation site which threatens both cultural practice and the land. He added that the report aims to formalize the process that was initiated by the engagement with the Stakeholders group including initiation sectors and traditional leaders.</p> <p>Mr. Daniels said that Subcouncil 14, MURP, Social Development, Environmental Health, Traffic. Water services, Electricity, Development Management and Law Enforcement are working together to ensure the progress of the project. In response to the current threat of land invasion Law Enforcement is monitoring the initiation site daily. Mr. Daniels reported that the Subcouncil Chairperson in their discussion recommended for the chairperson of the task team to be ward 42 Councillor. He further recommended that the ward councillor be assisted by a PR Councillor.</p> <p>Cllr Yozi recommended Cllr Dambuza to assist in the task team.</p> <p>Cllr Manata seconded the recommendation.</p>			
Resolution Details:			
<p>That the Report BE NOTED with the endorsement for Cllr Ngxumza as a chairperson of the task team and the recommendation for Cllr Dambuza to assist in the task team</p>			
Resolution History			
Meeting Date	Resolution		

Agenda Item No:	14SUB 6/1/2021	6	PROPOSED LEASE OF CITY OWNED LAND, BEING ERF 13277 NYANGA, BOUNDED BY MTSHA, NJWELE AND SIPHIKA ROAD, NYANGA: Ilinge LABANTWANA DAYCARE CENTRE.
Author:	Nomthandazo Vanqa;		
How Resolved:	Consensus		
Meeting Date:	2021/01/18		
Outstanding:	153		
Directorate:	ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITIES AND ASSET MANAGEMENT		
Department:	Property Management		

Preamble:

The Chairperson explained to all that Ilinge Labahlali is proposing the lease of the City land erf 13277 Nyanga, Bounded Mtsha, Njwele and Siphika road, Nyanga: Ilinge Labantwana daycare Centre. She further gave an opportunity to Cllr Yozi to explain the item fully.

Cllr. Yozi requested clarity on the report next to his name where it says the notice date was on the 22nd of November, he wanted to find out if that meant he was consulted on that day and further indicated that there was no consultation.

Mr. Daniels explained that the yes refers to the viability of the proposal and do not indicate the Cllr gave approval.

The Chairperson wanted to know if Cllr Yozi is disputing the date of consultation and if he was not consulted how did he know about the proposed lease.

Cllr Yozi said that there was no consultation made by this organization he explained Ilinge Labahlali was only granted the opportunity in that ERF because they proposed to be an ECD that will focus mainly on disabled children. At that time, they approached him saying they needed water and electricity services. However, he could not assist with signing up of their request understanding the community intentions for the land and considering that approving their request might be binding as the COCT policy allows three years of stay after granting water and electricity services.

He later learnt that the organization wants to use the building for their selfish reasons, they said they needed the building for water and electricity services but are getting those services from an informal settlement opposite Msiya Spaza Shop, he added that there is a housing development for that informal settlement and once it moves they will not be getting water and electricity services. Recently they have changed their constitution from servicing disabled children to servicing able children as they want to obtain funding using children for their selfish reasons. Their objectives are not at the best interest of the community. In the report they claim to be the only ECD in that area while there is Cebolomzi Preschool servicing the whole area and another ECD.

He said that the community wants the ERF to be used as a Neighborhood Centre for stakeholders such as area committees, SANCO, Lusaka Football Association and Neighborhood Watch to have offices in that land. The organization has been in meetings with the stakeholders and is aware of the intentions of the community. He added that he invited Monwabisi Boo to the site who was in total agreement of the community proposal. Knowing the intentions of the community Ilinge Labahlali still went under their backs in submitting this proposal. Acceptance of this proposal would lead into fight and division in Lusaka, they will not accept this proposal.

Cllr Yozi urged the Subcouncil not to support this proposal due to the abovementioned reasons.

The Chairperson indicated that in the document there is a part that says Cllr Yozi did not support the proposal, an attachment to that was in annexure c, she wanted clarity on that because it cannot be that he appears not to be supporting the proposal while he was not consulted. She highlighted that as a Subcouncil they should be at the same page in everything that is documented in the proposal.

Cllr Yozi indicated that the attachments are there.

The Chairperson gave an opportunity for other Cllrs to comment on the matter.

Cllr Nyingwa said that Subcouncil 14 should support Cllr Yozi.

Cllr Bonita also said that the Subcouncil should support the decision of the ward Cllr but highlighted the need for such proposals to be advertised in local newspapers for the community to see.

The chairperson said she also picked up that even though SANCO and the ward Cllr commented to the advert it was advertised in areas that are outside the community.

Cllr Zondani wanted clarity on the meaning of Cllr Yozi being mentioned in the document. He then moved to support the decision of the ward Cllr concerned.

The Chairperson said that Cllr Yozi was mentioned in the executive summary but the date there was for the notice period, he is also mentioned in page 50 as one of those who objected the proposal, the comments are provided but there is no date of when the comments took place.

Mr. Daniels said that the report shows that there was a formal notice given to Cllr Yozi and in response he objected.

Cllr Yozi said that he is clear according to the manager's interpretation. He said he interacted with the official Mandy Taylor in 2019 so the date of notice which is 22 November 2019 is right. He said he is happy that his objection is recorded and that there are attachments supporting his objection. Another thing that makes him happy is the page that provides reasons for the objections but was not happy that there is still no response on them, he wanted to know the official responsible for answering those. He said that Mandy never came back to him with feedback and added that he was surprised that these comments are only coming up now. He therefore requested the Manager to initiate a meeting with Mandy Taylor to answer the questions raised.

Cllr Zondani suggested the item be deferred to another meeting where Cllr Yozi will first engage with the official concerned and provide feedback.

Cllr Yozi withdrew the request for the Manager to engage with Mandy Taylor and said he will do the consultation on his own.

Cllr Ngxumza said that the Subcouncil should support the ward Cllr in rejecting this item, as he has motivated the reason for rejection.

The Chairperson said that the recommendation on the document says that they should approve the proposal of the organization which will rent for a tariff and that the lease will be extended for 10 years. In the document is also says that they say the organization will have to service the land. The Cllr objects this proposal saying that there are two other ECD's that can also be given an opportunity, the land can also be used as a neighborhood center. As the CEO Mrs.Rigala is no longer there. She said we will have to counter the notion and have reasons.

Mr. Daniels took the meeting to the recommendations, recommendation H, that says the objections are not upheld. He added that MURP was not consulted therefore the response should support the objection.

Cllr. Zondani encourage further public participation to support the objection and finalization of the identified challenges.

Cllr Yozi requested the manager to clarify if the suggestions made by Cllr Zondani would be effective in supporting the proposal.

Cllr Yozi said that he saw on the document the section that says MURP was told that the ERF will be used as a safety hub even though it was not consulted, he was concerned about that and said he will follow up with Monwabisi Booi. He said there are a lot of untruths in the report as they claim to be the only ECD and that in public schools ECD's are not supported in the Western Cape, the organization claim to be maintaining the land but they have never. He added that they should respond to property management in writing.

Cllr Zondani called the Cllr Zondani in a point of order as he cannot make a resolution as the chairperson lost connection.

Mr. Daniels agreed with Cllr Zondani.

Cllr Manata requested for a ten minutes' body break.

Chairperson came back just after the request to resume with the meeting. She then gave an opportunity for the Cllr Yozi to comment.

Cllr Yozi said he wanted to understand why Property Management did not consult MURP. He also said that there is a lot of untruths in the report as the organization claims to be the only ECD, while there is ICebolomzi. They have also lied about ECD's not accommodated in public schools and claim to be maintaining the land. They see an opportunity of spending money. He wanted to find out the implications of Cllr Zondani's statement and wanted to put

the proposal in writing of enquiring with property management.

Cllr Manata wanted to know what if they go to the council and the organization still get the land, she wanted to know what will happen to Cllr Yozi's ward.

The Chairperson responded to Cllr Zondani in terms of deferring the item and said as a Subcouncil they reject the recommendation as it is. Administratively there has been no consultation with all departments including MURP. Consultation was done by the Cllr which led to objecting the lease of land for the organization, the Subcouncil believes that justice was not done for ward 39 community as there are other ECD's which must be given an opportunity to operate in the space. She said that it cannot be correct that one organization gets to be given lease of years for the previous years and it gets renewed for the next ten years. She emphasized that all organizations should be given a fair opportunity. She therefore indicated that the organizations and the plans should be listen in support of the objection of the proposal, she added that on the other side Cllr Yozi should right an objection letter to property department by asking who has responded and providing documentation as proof those people are lying, also put the proof of resignation of the CEO. This should be done before the Council meeting.

The Chairperson added that Cllr Yozi should provide sufficient proof to the department, what happens next after the written rejection will depend of the community and the ward councilor's ability to prove the reasons for objection. She added they do not have control of the matter going to the Council.

Cllr Yozi clarified that the lease is not a renewal, the organization is applying for the first time meaning that they have occupied the building illegally but added that he fully supports the Chairperson's recommendation.

Cllr Bonita supported the Cllr's decision seconded by Cllr Ngxumza.

The was unanimous agreement with the resolution.

Resolution Details:

Mr. Daniels said that minutes of the previous Subcouncil meeting was submitted to Property Management

Resolution History

Meeting Date	Resolution
--------------	------------

2021/01/18	
------------	--

	RESOLVED That the recommendations contained in the Report on Proposed Lease of the City land, being Erf 13277 Nyanga, bounded Mtsha, Njwele And Siphika Road, Nyanga: Ilinge Labantwana Daycare Centre BE NOT SUPPORTED based on the information provided by the Ward 39 councilor and the community contained in the discussion on the item as well as the report and its attachments.
--	---

Agenda Item No:	14SUB 16/3/2021 SM	7	IMPLEMENTATION OF THE URBAN MANAGEMENT DIRECTORATE'S HUMANITARIAN PRORAMME IN SUBCOUNCIL
Author:	Anthony Daniels;		
How Resolved:	Consensus		
Meeting Date:	2021/03/19		
Outstanding:	109		
Directorate:	URBAN MANAGEMENT		
Department:	Area Central		
Preamble:			
The Subcouncil Manager introduced the report implementation of the Urban Management Directorate's Humanitarian Programme in Subcouncil.			
The intention is to update Subcouncil on the progress with implementation of the programme.			
Following days of interaction and renegotiations with the various NGOs, the Subcouncil Manager finally entered the stage where Memorandum of Agreements could be signed with the successful organisations. The following organisations were successful in applying for the food relief			
The Chairperson requested councilors to monitor and exercise oversight over the process, including being present when deliveries and distribution of food take place.			
There was unanimous agreement with the resolution			
Resolution Details:			
RESOLVED			
That the Report on Implementation of the Urban Management Directorate's Humanitarian Programme in Subcouncil BE NOTED.			
Action: ANTHONY DANIELS			

Agenda Item No:	14SUB 3/4/2021 SM	8	THE GRANTS-IN-AID ALLOCATION: SUBCOUNCIL 14 HUMANITARIAN FOOD RELIEF PHASE 2
Author:	Anthony Daniels;		
How Resolved:	Consensus		
Meeting Date:	2021/04/23		
Outstanding:	84		
Directorate:	URBAN MANAGEMENT		
Department:	Area Central		
Resolution Details:			
Not delegated: for decision by Council:			
It is recommended that Subcouncil 14 recommend that:			
a) Ikamva Labantu Charitable Trust - ward 37, 38 and 41, Food Education Centre Jabulani NPC - ward 42, 45, Peninsula			
School Feeding Association - ward 39, 41, Mustadafin Foundation - 37 , 42 render the Humanitarian Relief Programme in Subcouncil 14.			
b) The provisions of raw ingredients to soup kitchens in the different wards of Subcouncil 14 BE APPROVED .			
c) It BE NOTED that each ward is allocated R129 310, 34.			

Agenda Item No:	14SUB 4/4/2021 SM	9	GRANT-IN-AID APPLICATION – 2020/21 FINANCIAL YEAR
Author:	Anthony Daniels;		
How Resolved:	Majority Vote		
Meeting Date:	2021/04/23		
Outstanding:	84		
Directorate:	URBAN MANAGEMENT		
Department:			

Preamble:

Mr. Daniels said that the purpose of this report is for Subcouncil 14 to endorse and recommend the allocation for grant funding to the following organisations, namely: Sunflower Senior Club allocated R 15 000 for Ward 38, Mama Afrika Ithemba Labahlali allocated R 20 000 for Ward 38, Lulwazi Lwethu allocated R 35 000 for Ward 38, Lulwazi Lwethu allocated R 60 000 for Ward 39, Phakama Community Health Project allocated R 40 000 for Ward 39, Lulwazi Lwethu allocated R 58 000 for Ward 45, Need for the Needy allocated R 49 999 for Ward 45 and Intsika Ye Afrika Heritage Multi Media allocated R 42 000 for Ward 45. He elaborated that the recommendations for this report is for the 2020/21 Preliminary and First Screening Process to be noted and the 2020/21 Grant-in-Aid TAP be recommended for submission to the Section 67 Grant-in-Aid Committee to review and support the proposed grant-in-aid applications. He added that they have gone through all the processes and compliance was checked for these organisations.

Cllr Yozi said that he does not recall giving Phakama R40 000 and asked for the figures to be changed before adoption. He was confused that ward 38 allocation is more than R50 000. He added that the GIA policy is not favorable for all organisations and indicated the need for Finance to consider Cllrs comments for the GIA policy. He added that in some cases the benefiting organisations misuse the funds hence their input as Cllr is vital as they know deserving organisations.

Mr. Daniels explained that it is not possible to give the organisations an amount that is more than what they applied for, he added that Lulwazi applied in different wards. The Subcouncil Manager indicated that Finance Department conducts a screening process during which the amount could be transferred are determined in line with the Grants-in-Aid Policy. He showed the First Screening results indicating a maximum of R60 000 can be recommended for Lulwazi in ward 39.

Mr. Daniels said that they will sign an MOA with the organisations to monitor them closely. He added that the Subcouncil is empowered to act against organisations who waste the GIA funding. A Monthly report will be tabled to the Subcouncil based on the monthly feedback received from the organisations, The tracking and monitoring will involve both physical visits as well as receipts of invoices monthly.

During the signature process Mr. Daniels will explain the MOA and the policy which is done to set the rule on what is acceptable.

Cllr Zondani said that it is the first time in Subcouncil 14 to have an organisation that transverse across the wards, the Subcouncil should ensure they empower other organisations by clearly explaining the requirements to ensure that the organisations qualify for the GIA funding.

Cllr Zondani noted the recommendations supported by Cllr Jacobs.
Cllr Yozi abstained from the voting process.

Majority of councillors supported the recommendation.

Resolution Details:

It is recommended that:

- a) The 2020/21 Preliminary and First Screening Process **BE NOTED**.
- b) The 2020/21 Grant-in-Aid TAP **BE RECOMMENDED** for submission to the Section 67 Grant-in-Aid Committee to review and support the proposed grant-in-aid applications.

Resolution History

Meeting Date

Resolution

2021/04/23

It was resolved that:

a) The 2020/21 Preliminary and First Screening Process **BE NOTED**.

b) The 2020/21 Grant-in-Aid TAP **BE RECOMMENDED** for submission to the Section 67 Grant-in-Aid Committee to review and support the proposed grant-in-aid applications.

Agenda Item No:	14SUB 6/5/2021	10	MOTION IN TERMS OF RULE 18 OF THE RULES OF ORDER FOR SEWER BLOCKAGES IN SUBCOUNCIL 14
Author:	Cllr Khaya Yozi (ANC);		
How Resolved:	Consensus		
Meeting Date:	2021/05/17		
Outstanding:	68		
Directorate:	URBAN MANAGEMENT		
Department:			

Preamble:

Cllr Yozi introduced the motion in terms of Rule 18 of the Rules of Order of Subcouncils. He read the motion requesting that Council no longer ignore the upgrade of areas that were built during the Apartheid. The infrastructure upgrade on these areas have been neglected under preteens of relocating people from Nyanga and Gugulethu to other areas such as Delft, Samora Machel and Mfuleni. The sewer pipes built from inception are 250 millimeters wide in Nyanga and 300 in Gugulethu. The population as indicated above have increased drastically and has a direct consequence on the capacity of sewer lines. He moved that the pipes of 250 to be replaced with 350 pipes and pipes of 300 be replaced with 400 pipes. Nyanga has only two pump stations Miller Road and Great Dutch, he moved that the capacity of these stations be upgraded. Gugulethu has one pump station in New Rest, NY 11, he moved that the station be capacitated as well. He added that council must also make use of bucket machines as opposed to the old trucks that are unable to remove sand and sewer lines. Council must in this process look into removing sewer drains that are in private properties and move them into council land. Furthermore, people are unable to upgrade their homes and live a healthy environment because of spillages that constantly occur. He further proposed for the council to budget for these upgrades in the financial year of 2022 as this is a priority for Subcouncil 14 communities.

Cllr Zondani supported the motion seconded by Cllr Ngxumza.

Cllr Bafo said that he supports the motion but advised for Cllr Yozi to correct some of the typing errors in the motion.

The Chairperson said that on the day when the motion is serving to the council all Subcouncil 14 councilors should be present to support it so it can get more volume and effect as it is a collective motion for Subcouncil 14.

There was unanimous agreement with the resolution.

Resolution Details:

It was resolved that the Motion in Terms of Rule 18 of The Rules of Order for The Subcouncil Meeting Scheduled for the 17th of May 2021 **BE ADOPTED** and for Cllr Yozi to fix the typing errors on the motion to ensure it is correct before serving at Council.

Agenda Item No:	14SUB 9/5/2021	11	REVIEW OF THE SUBCOUNCIL WARD ALLOCATION PROCESS: FINANCIAL YEAR 2022/2023
Author:	Keith Miller;		
How Resolved:	Consensus		
Meeting Date:	2021/05/17		
Outstanding:	68		
Directorate:	URBAN MANAGEMENT		
Department:	Support Services (UM)		

Preamble:

Mr. Daniels said that this report is for noting, it outlines the processes and timeframes to be followed in the identification of ward allocation projects done in terms of delegations 24.2(2). There is planned workshop with the line departments to discuss the process of engagement between line departments and the Subcouncil. Ward committee members were given the opportunity to identify ward priority projects or needs, a consolidated list will be presented to the councilors prior to the workshop. Project identification, costing and technical review will be done on the 1st of August. Finalization will be between September and October, during the finalization a final report will serve in the Subcouncil meeting for councilors to adopt ward allocation projects for the next financial year.

Mr. Daniels said that the implementation of these projects would take place in the next financial year.

Cllr Zondani raised a concern about the change of boundaries which he thought is going to affect the ward allocation implementation in the next financial year after elections.

Mr. Daniels said that the functions of the City of Cape Town continues irrespective of the boundaries. The needs within those specific communities does not change. He added that Finance should advise on any movements of funds after the boundaries have changed.

The Chairperson requested the councilors to note page 104 and 105 which has stipulated dates and also note that stage 6 is between the 1st of June 2022 to the 30th of June 2023, authorization will be on the 18th of October. She also highlighted that officials and the City of Cape Town processes will remain the same irrespective of the demarcation process and the elections. She added that when they arrived in 2016 they found projects that were already on the pipeline to be implemented. Also in 2011 there were also a certain portion that were not part of their wards before but the changes were done accordingly. She highlighted that the process of ward allocation needs to be followed as the City of Cape Town processes remain unchanged.

The Chairperson also encouraged for councilors to work together to ensure that all areas are accommodated as that complements the City of Cape Town processes.

Cllr Jacobs requested for a copy of the ward priority list submitted by ward committee members.

Cllr Yozi said that as the ward committee members have submitted the priority list where will the funding for those projects come from?

Mr. Daniels said that the projects will be funded with ward allocation funds. At minimum councilors should consider the identified ward priorities and recommend some or all for the engagements/ technical review with line departments. The outcome of the technical review should be communicated with the ward committee members to inform them of the projects that will be implemented. The Subcouncil is also entitled to recommend projects that are under the ambit of local government to be included in Council's budget.

Cllr Jacobs noted the report. Cllr Zondani seconded the proposal to note the report.

There was unanimous agreement with the resolution.

Resolution Details:

It was resolved that the report on Review of the Subcouncil Ward Allocation Process: Financial Year 2022/2023 **BE NOTED**

Agenda Item No:	14SUB 11/5/2021	12	GRANT-IN-AID APPLICATION - 2020/21 FINANCIAL YEAR
Author:	Anthony Daniels;		
How Resolved:	Consensus		
Meeting Date:	2021/05/17		
Outstanding:	68		
Directorate:	URBAN MANAGEMENT		
Department:	Area Central		
Preamble:			
<p>The Subcouncil Manager introduced the report Grants-in-Aid Application - 2020/21 Financial Year indicating that this report replaces the report tabled in the Special Subcouncil meeting of April 2021, with item number 14SUB/4/4/2021 SM. Feedback from Legal Services and comments by Cllr Yozi during the aforementioned meeting prompted amendments to the report.</p> <p>The Subcouncil Manager requested that resolution 14SUB/4/4/2021 SM be rescinded.</p> <p>Cllr Zondani proposed adoption of the recommendations. Cllr Yozi supported the proposal.</p> <p>There was unanimous agreement with the resolution.</p>			
Resolution Details:			
<p>It is resolved that:</p> <p>a.Subcouncil approve the review and rescind of resolution 14SUB 4/4/2021 SM</p> <p>b.The 2020/21 Preliminary and First Screening Process BE NOTED.</p> <p>c.The 2020/21 Grants-in-Aid TAP BE RECOMMENDED for submission to the Section 67 Grant-in-Aid Committee to review and support the proposed grants-in-aid applications.</p>			

Agenda Item No:	14SUB 3/6/2021 SM	13	SEWER PROBLEMS - EDUCATION AND AWARENESS CAMPAIGN WITH LEADERSHIP STRUCTURES
Author:	Thembisa Gqamane;		
How Resolved:	Consensus		
Meeting Date:	2021/06/04		
Outstanding:	54		
Directorate:	WATER AND WASTE		
Department:	Water and Sanitation Services		

Preamble:

Thembisa Gqamane explained that they have engaged with Public Participation for the sewer blockage campaign which aims to educate people about the importance of not putting foreign objects in the sewer system. The campaign provides behavioral tips, encourages people to bin the items, it will be a trainer trainee campaign, which will be done by giving information to the leadership of the communities to share and educate others. They make use of 2 tin cans by providing adverts on the Bin It don't block it campaign through the Wi-Fi provided for 2 tin Cans. During this Pandemic digital marketing is effective for this campaign as they also post on social media.

Based on C3 notifications Gugulethu and Philippi are one of the areas that have blockages. The objects found which are causing sewer blockages consists of sand, building material, rugs and sanitary pads. These objects have a negative impact on the water drains as the overflowing sewer impacts health.

Thembisa Gqamane reported that 27% of pump stations are experiencing theft. As a result of vandalism there is less water going to the plants.

The councillors requested for her to share the presentation.

Cllr Nyingwa acknowledged the presentation.

Cllr Ngxumza wanted to find out about the duration of the campaign and the expectations of the department from the community members. He highlighted that Gugulethu have major sewer blockage challenges but he did not see sufficient representation of that from the presentation.

Cllr Yozi alluded to what was said by Cllr Ngxumza and said that he finds it unfair that the Water and Sanitation department has been sitting with the data for a long time not trying to resolve the problems. He said they are experiencing constant blockages in Miller Street, Popoyi, Siphika, Ntlangano and Great Dutch street. There is only one pump station working which is in Miller Street. This problem affects the health of residents and puts in danger the lives of children as they tend to play in the dirty water.

There are problems with sewer drains in private properties, they cannot extend or upgrade because of the sewer lines, the department of planning in Athlone is not assisting. He proposed for the drainage systems to be put in the sidewalks or main roads. He highlighted that there are a lot of problems that needs solutions, educational campaigns were done previously but were only effective for a month, after that people went to their old ways. He also mentioned that solid waste is not helping backyarders with rubbish collection. They expect to have an engagement with Solid Waste and Storm Water in trying to resolve this issue.

He added that historically Nyanga and Gugulethu are the oldest townships, the previous sewer systems cannot carry the weight of the current population, he therefore proposed for 250 milliliter pipes to be replaced with 300, for 300 and 350 milliliter pipes to be replaced with 400.

Cllr Yozi requested for Thembisa to structure her presentation to only focus in Subcouncil 14 areas namely: Gugulethu, Nyanga and Manenberg and to provide a breakdown according to the 6 wards. He requested that she identifies where most of the blockages comes from. He further requested for the status of the pump stations in Subcouncil 14.

Cllr Dambuza appreciated the presentation and said that the department always brings up the matter of vandalism. They do not want to be in the same situation like Samora where the City of Cape Town suspended its services due to vandalism and criminal activities. In resolving that problem safety and security should be involved. It is difficult to educate the community when they do not see changes.

Cllr Dambuza added that Solid waste should also be involved in resolving the challenges identified. He said that in one of the previous Subcouncil meetings Cllr Zondani proposed for households to have more than one bin, he urged

for that proposal to be looked into. He added that the drains that are situated in people's household should be moved. For the constant sewer blockages there should be a team that goes out to check the sewerage overflow on a weekly or daily basis and the team should be accompanied by law enforcement to ensure safety.

Thembisa Gqamane noted the challenges identified and promised to follow up and provide feedback. She said she will speak with the Subcouncil Manager for private property to investigate the issues. However, the behavior of people still comes across as a challenge, so the awareness is still critical.

Mr. Daniels indicated that there will be a follow up meeting with Thembisa. He added that behavior change does not happen over one instance, it can be effective after Six months.

There was unanimous agreement with the resolution.

Resolution Details:

That the report on Sewer Problems - Education and Awareness Campaign with Leadership Structures **BE NOTED** with the following comments made by the councillors:

1. For sewer drainage system in private property to be moved to sidewalks or main road.
2. Involvement of planning department, solid waste and safety and security in resolving the sewer challenges identified.
3. Replacement of 250 millilitre pipes with 300, replacement 300 to 350 millilitre pipes with 400 millilitre pipes in Gugulethu and Nyanga.
4. Request for the duration of the campaign and its expectations from the community members.
5. Recommendation for households to have more than one bin.
6. Request for the status of the pump stations in Subcouncil 14.
7. Request for a follow up meeting with Thembisa where she will provide a feedback on the challenges identifies.
8. Recommendation for Thembisa to structure her presentation to focus on areas in Subcouncil 14, to provide a detailed breakdown of the affected areas according to the respective wards.

Agenda Item No:	14SUB 7/6/2021 SM	14	AMENDMENT TO THE SERVICE PROVIDERS IMPLEMENTING PHASE TWO OF THE HUMANITARIAN RELIEF PROGRAMME IN SUBCOUNCIL 14
Author:	Anthony Daniels		
How Resolved:	Consensus		
Meeting Date:	2021/06/04		
Outstanding:	54		
Directorate:	URBAN MANAGEMENT		
Department:	Area Central		

Preamble:

The Subcouncil Manager introduced the report, explaining the process of consultation including discussions with the Acting Director Area Central, the Senior Project Manager, a representative of Mustadafin Foundation and a representative of Ikamva Labantu. These discussions followed from the meeting on 01 June 2021 wherein councilors expressed the need to change the service providers responsible for implementing the Community Humanitarian Relief Programme Phase 2 in Subcouncil 14.

The next step will be to get the MOAs signed and payments effected.

Cllr Zondani proposed the adoption of the recommendations. Cllr Ngxumza seconded the proposal.

There was unanimous agreement with the resolution.

Resolution Details:

It is resolved that Subcouncil 14 recommend:

1. That the report on the amendment to the service providers implementing Phase 2 of the Humanitarian Relief Programme in Subcouncil 14 **BE NOTED**
1. That Subcouncil **APPROVE** Mustadafin as the service provider for Phase 2 of the Humanitarian Relief Programme in ward 37, 38 and ward 41 originally proposed to be implemented by Ikamva Labantu
1. That Food Education Centre Jabulani NPC - ward 42, 45, Peninsula School Feeding Association - ward 39, 41, Mustadafin Foundation – 37, 38, 41 and 42 render the Humanitarian Relief Programme in Subcouncil 14.

Agenda Item No:	14SUB 8/6/2021 SM	15	ASSET MANAGEMENT IN SUBCOUNCIL 14
Author:	Anthony Daniels		
How Resolved:	Consensus		
Meeting Date:	2021/06/04		
Outstanding:	54		
Directorate:	URBAN MANAGEMENT		
Department:	Area Central		

Preamble:

Mr. Daniels indicated that the purpose of this report was to inform Subcouncil about the state of asset management process within the Subcouncil administration. The Subcouncil have a total of 154 assets, 111 assets from the office, 43 from the councillors offices and a total of 29 unverified assets. He added that 61 assets from the Subcouncil are not insured and that the Subcouncil should comply and ensure that assets are insured. He added that the main objective of this activity is to ensure 100% of verified assets. He further explained that damaged and stolen items should be reported within 10 days.

Mr. Daniels said that there should be a maintenance programme for assets, so that when damaged they can be sent for repairs. He added that in the following financial year the assets will be placed on assets register. He emphasised that they should be on council property and highlighted that the assets bought should benefit the community.

Cllr Yozi said that it is the first the Subcouncil receives an item like this, he was happy for receiving this item. However, he wanted to find out if the report on assets includes everything in the Subcouncil including facilities belonging to the line departments and Recreation and Parks. He added that he had a personal experience in KTC where computers were stolen 2 times, as a result now they are sitting with second hands as the assets were not insured. He added that he would love for the line departments to provide a list of assets for Subcouncil 14 because the councillors are concerned.

Mr. Daniels said that this report only focuses in assets in Subcouncil 14 but he appreciates that view of assets in other areas of Subcouncil or line departments, he added that he will ask such department to provide a report.

Cllr Zondani indicated that with his capital project he was able to buy a treadmill but does not see the item on the assets list. He added that in the next activity day he would like to get a detailed explanation of the assets. He also wanted to find out if the equipment in Subcouncil 14 is part of the list.

Cllr Zondani requested for timeframes. He acknowledged that he owes the Subcouncil three items and said that it was not easy to get proof from SAPS of the items that were stolen but promised to go to the police station next week for the proof.

Cllr Ngxumza concurred with what has been said by Cllr Zondani and said that he went to the police station to make an affidavit for the missing items and apologized for the delay on submitting the report. He further said that it is not easy to ensure the safety of items due to how their office are designed. He recommended for their offices to be separated from the ward clerks and for the City of Cape Town to provide safety keeping materials and to make sure that the offices have tight burglars.

Cllr Ngxumza said that the items bought are not of good quality, a maintenance strategy should be strengthened. He added that the issue of line departments should be looked into because they buy items from ward allocation funding but do not register them under Subcouncil 14 register, they would like to know if the assets from line departments are still available to assist people.

Cllr Zondani wanted clarity on 154 items and said that he knows for a fact that assets from the councillors offices are not more than 50.

Mr. Daniels said that there are 43 items in councillors offices and out of those 29 items are not verified, there are 111 items from the Subcouncil office and they are all verified. He added that the line departments are responsible for the items they bought but they should benefit respective wards. He highlighted that by the 30th of June assets should be finalized.

Cllr Ngxumza noted the report seconded by Cllr Nyingwa

Resolution Details:

Mr. Daniels said a report was sent to the councillors of the status of our SC 14 Asset which states that we have 52 assets that are not verified.

He also said that we have to do the verification process if we will be writing off those that are missing.

Mr. Daniels said that Councillor Support will take over all the assets that are bought for Councillors and will be listed under Subcouncil 14 assets register.

Subcouncil will no longer buy assets for councillors offices. Any assets bought will be kept at the Subcouncil and will have to be booked out and returned.

Mr. Daniels said that he received an email from an external source to investigate an asset that was bought for a particular ward.

Agenda Item No:	14SUB 9/6/2021 SM	16	SUBCOUNCIL 14 PROJECTS PLANNED FOR IMPLEMENTATION WITH THE R1.9 MILLION OPERATIONAL FUNDING ALLOCATION DURING 2021/2022 FINANCIAL YEAR
Author:	Anthony Daniels		
How Resolved:	Consensus		
Meeting Date:	2021/06/04		
Outstanding:	54		
Directorate:	URBAN MANAGEMENT		
Department:	Area Central		

Preamble:

The Subcouncil Manager introduced the report explaining the consultation process followed. Attached is the minutes of the ACTT meeting where line departments were briefed and requested to make submissions. The councillors supported and recommended two projects at a meeting held on 25 May 2021. These are the Maintenance of Parks and Public Open Spaces in Subcouncil 14 Maintenance of Parks and the Subcouncil 14 Green Jobs Project.

Subsequent to that the Subcouncil Manager met the line departments and detailed scoping documents, costings and timeline were developed. The Subcouncil Manager presented these documents to the Acting Area Director on 31 May 2021, whereafter all queries were put to the line departments and clarified.

Cllr Manata proposed adoption of the resolution. Cllr Ngxumza supported the proposal.

There was unanimous agreement with the resolution.

Resolution Details:

"Delegated: for decision by Subcouncil:

It is resolved that:

1. the implementation of the R1 900 000 MURP operating expenditure budget allocation for the 2021/22 financial year as per the table below **BE APPROVED:**

WARD/S PROJECT DESCRIPTION AMOUNT (R) 37, 38, 39, 41, 42, 45 Maintenance of Parks and Public Open Spaces in Subcouncil 14 R 800 000 37, 38, 39, 41, 42, 45 Subcouncil 14 Green Jobs Project R1 100 000

Agenda Item No:	14SUB 10/6/2021 SM	17	GRANT-IN-AID APPLICATIONS – 2020/21 FINANCIAL YEAR
Author:	Anthony Daniels		
How Resolved:	Consensus		
Meeting Date:	2021/06/04		
Outstanding:	54		
Directorate:	URBAN MANAGEMENT		
Department:	Area Central		
Preamble:			
<p>The Subcouncil Manager introduced the report indicating it is for the Subcouncil to recommend to the GIA Committee the organisations and amounts per ward for further processing.</p> <p>The report had to be amended after the May Subcouncil meeting.</p> <p>Resolution 14SUB 11/5/2021 will have to be rescinded.</p> <p>Ald Jacobs proposed adoption of the resolution. Cllr Makasi seconded the proposal</p> <p>There was unanimous agreement with the resolution</p>			
Resolution Details:			
<p>It is resolved that</p> <p>a. Resolution 14SUB 11/5/2021 be rescinded</p> <p>b. The 2020/21 Preliminary and First Screening Process BE NOTED.</p> <p>c. The 2020/21 Grants-in-Aid TAP BE RECOMMENDED for submission to the Section 67 Grant-in-Aid Committee to review and support the proposed grants-in-aid applicationsIt is resolved that</p>			