

# Subcouncil - Matters Receiving Attention Report

## Subcouncil 19

Agenda Item No:	<b>19SUB 8/6/2019</b>	1	<b>STADCO PRESENTATION</b>
Author:	Desiree Mentor		
How Resolved:	Casting Vote		
Meeting Date:	2019/06/14		
Outstanding:	758		
Directorate:	URBAN MANAGEMENT		
Department:	Sub-councils		

### Preamble:

Siraaj Slamang was present for this item. He informed that a stakeholder engagement had taken place on 12 April 2022 to discuss the rental determination for STADCO, as well as the proposed period of the agreement. Mr Slamang stated that at the meeting, it was resolved that the department write off the expenses or the rental against the expenses for the department. He added that that costing was completed and engaged with STADCO. They provided the department with a breakdown of what it cost them to manage that facility. The department did a breakdown of what it would cost the City. The cost was about R1 million for STADCO which included the staff component; should the City manage that facility, it would cost R2 million to R5 million.

He asked whether the department could proceed with a three (3) year arrangement or whether process to finalise a ten (10) year arrangement.

Cllr Aimee Kuhl asked for clarity on the process and estimated time frame, to which Mr Slamang responded that should the department go ahead with the three (3) year arrangement, a report (to include the costing) would have to be served at the next Subcouncil meeting.

### Resolution Details:

Following further discussion, it was,

1. **RECOMMENDED** that the rental payable by STADCO be set at last year's tariff + 10%,
1. **RESOLVED** that the lease period remain at 3 (three) years, with an option to renew for 5 (five) years thereafter,
1. **RESOLVED** that a report be submitted to the May Subcouncil meeting.

### Resolution History

#### Meeting Date      Resolution

2022/03/25      Following further discussion, it was **RESOLVED** that,

1. The Subcouncil be informed of the outcome of the meeting to be held with STADCO and relevant stakeholders on 12 April 2022.

Department: **Recreation and Parks**

Responsible Officer(RO): **Siraaj Slamang**

Response Date      Comment from RO

2022/03/16 An-inrpicieple letter was sent to STADCO regadidons of the agreement on 2022-02-25.

STADCO replied on 12-03-2022 objecting to conditions and requested a stakeholder meeting to take place. This will be arranged in due course.

STADCO Legal opinion attached as per request by Alderman Purchase.

Agenda Item No:	<b>19SUB 11/3/2022</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>QUESTION TABLED FROM THE FLOOR: BURST WATER MAINS</b>
Author:	Desiree Mentor;		
How Resolved:	Consensus		
Meeting Date:	2022/03/25		
Outstanding:	33		
Directorate:	CORPORATE SERVICES		
Department:	Subcouncil Area South		

**Preamble:**

Clyde Koen was present for this item. He tabled a map of Fish Hoek indicating where the burst water mains had taken place in the last year.

(A copy of the map is attached to the Minute as Annexure 'A')

He stated the map was based on the questions that he received. He added that the 'bursts' were sporadic and not to secluded various pressure managed zones or various supplies that we do have. The reason for most of these bursts were old infrastructure and not specific pressure that has to do with our supplies. There would be a different pattern of bursts secluded in certain areas, particularly roads next to each other. The pipelines in Fish Hoek was passed their life-span.

The department was looking at the high risk areas and prioritising that for next year's project prioritisation programme.

Cllr Kuhl thanked Mr Koen for the informative presentation. She stated that one could see that aged infrastructure on the mountainside. She asked when the planning for the next financial year would be in place and communicate that to the Subcouncil, in order for Fish Hoek Ratepayers Association to engage their residents.

Mr Koen responded that the department currently only has the Highway Project in Fish Hoek for this financial year. The rest of the projects for the next financial year were committed.

**Resolution Details:**

Following questions of clarity from Cllr Kuhl, which were responded to by Mr Koen it was **RESOLVED** that,

1. The Subcouncil Manager request a list of approved projects from Clyde Koen (Water Reticulation Department) for the next financial year.
1. The response be sent to Brian Youngblood (Fish Hoek Valley Residents and Ratepayer Association for information.

**Resolution History**

Meeting Date	Resolution
2022/03/25	At the request of Cllr Aimee Kuhl, it was <b>RESOLVED</b> that, <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) A detailed presentation be delivered at the next Subcouncil meeting from the relevant Water &amp; Sanitation officials to answer the questions raised.</li> </ol>

Department: <b>Distribution Services (Water)</b>	Responsible Officer(RO): <b>Clyde Koen</b>
<b>Response Date</b>	<b>Comment from RO</b>
2022/05/05	Official delivered an overhead presentation at the April SC Meeting.

Agenda Item No:	<b>19SUB 31/3/2022</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>MANAGEMENT OF THE JOBSEEKERS DATABASE POLICY</b>	
Author:	Desiree Mentor			
How Resolved:	Consensus			
Meeting Date:	2022/03/25			
Outstanding:	33			
Directorate:	CORPORATE SERVICES			
Department:	Subcouncil Area South			
<b>Resolution Details:</b>				
<b>RESOLVED</b> that,				
1. The Speaker be requested to initiate the reviewing of the Management of the Jobseekers Database Policy specifically for the decentralisation of the randomisation process.				

Agenda Item No:	<b>19SUB 32/3/2022</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>ROYAL ROAD UPGRADE</b>
Author:	Desiree Mentor		
How Resolved:	Consensus		
Meeting Date:	2022/03/25		
Outstanding:	33		
Directorate:	CORPORATE SERVICES		
Department:	Subcouncil Area South		

**Preamble:**

**Royal Road Upgrade**

Mr Vieira informed the meeting as follows:

- R150 million a year over five (5) years (which ended last year) was allocated for congestion relief program;
- An additional R200 million in addition to the R750 million were requested to get projects to a particular point;
- A report was currently being prepared to the Portfolio Committee, MAYCO and Council to indicate the allocation for the congestion relief programme for the next number of years (to deal with the full list of backlog)
- Royal Road was rated number thirty one (31) on the congestion program list; "*it's definitely on the next batch for planning*";
- Eighteen (18) projects on the congestion relief program were completed;
- Royal Road from the vlei to Prince George Drive were quite high on the department's priority list;
- A set of criteria was developed based on the economic return and public transport usage on particular roads, i.e. two (2) roads were equally congested, and one 'carried' more public transport than the other, that road would be prioritised.
- The list of projects were worked through systematically;
- The R300 was similarly number thirty one (31) on the list;
- When R150 million was allocated, it was questioned whether the full allocation be utilised to do major projects such as the R300 or should smaller projects that would bring immediate relief in the local area.
- At a recent Portfolio Committee, a workshop was held to explain the whole congestion relief programme, the history, the current active projects and future projects;
- Projects lined up from the prioritised list required to unlock some of the congestion in some areas of Cape Town, were loaded on the system.
- "*The need and the ask*" for funding for the upgrading of roads was the challenge going forward.

The Chairperson, Cllr Kuhl thanked the officials for the detailed presentation. She stated that this clarified a number of things. She added that councillors and residents were not always aware of '*what was in the pipe-line*' and it was challenging to provide feedback on managing projects to every Subcouncil. She asked, "*whether a quarterly report on the prioritised list could be submitted in three (3) months' time*". A written report on infrastructure development would suffice.

In response, Mr Vieira asked whether the department could provide feedback after the congestion programme report was considered and supported at Council in July/ August 2022. He added that this would give councillors the confidence for future budgeting of these projects.

**Resolution Details:**

Following clarity, it was **RESOLVED** that,

1. The response and presentation be forwarded to the Marina da Gama Association,
2. The Subcouncil Manager request Mr Tony Vieira (Transport Planning & Network Management) to provide a prioritised list of congested roads projects to Subcouncil 19 in three (3) months' time.
3. The item heading be renamed to the Royal Road Upgrade.

Agenda Item No:	<b>19SUB 8/4/2022</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>PRESENTATION: SPORTS GROUND LEASE MANAGEMENT MODELS</b>
Author:	David William Dunn;		
How Resolved:	Consensus		
Meeting Date:	2022/04/22		
Outstanding:	13		
Directorate:	COMMUNITY SERVICES AND HEALTH		
Department:	Recreation and Parks		

**Preamble:**

The Subcouncil Manager informed that the department requested the item be withdrawn as it needed to be circulated internally for comment.

**Resolution Details:**

At the request of the Chairperson, Cllr Aimee Kuhl, it was **RESOLVED** that,

1. Mr David Dunn (Recreation & Parks) submit a list of the statuses of all the existing sports ground lease agreements within Subcouncil 19 to the next subcouncil meeting.
1. The presentation be withdrawn.

Agenda Item No:	<b>19SUB 9/4/2022</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>QUESTION TABLED FROM THE FLOOR: SIMON'S TOWN JETTY</b>
Author:	Desiree Mentor;		
How Resolved:	Consensus		
Meeting Date:	2022/04/22		
Outstanding:	13		
Directorate:	CORPORATE SERVICES		
Department:	Subcouncil Area South		

**Preamble:**

The following submission was received from the Cameron Gumbrill.

The history of the Simon's Town Jetty fendering was very long. The matter has been ongoing for the better part of the decade. The asset was important to the businesses of the town and continues to deteriorate. There was a risk of personal injury and severe economic hardship which could be caused should the necessary repairs not be undertaken.

The City has been aware of the problem for several years, but the City officials remain viable to give way concrete timelines or commitments relating to when the fendering of the jetty would be either repaired or replaced.

Mr Gumbrill stated that the response from the City of Cape Town was no longer acceptable. This matter must be placed on the SC Agenda and tracked to resolution. The question that must be answered is when are the repairs to the Simon's Town jetty going to be completed?

The Chairperson thanked the resident for the submission. She added that the concerns would be forwarded to the relevant officials for investigation and feedback.

**Resolution Details:**

**RESOLVED** that,

1. The concerns be forwarded to the Coastal Management Department for investigation and comment.
1. This item remain on the MRA list until resolved.

Department: Environmental Management	Responsible Officer(RO): Gregg Oelofse
--------------------------------------	--

**Response Date    Comment from RO**

2022/05/10	To provide a report that has any value requires that we do some work which includes: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Review of jetty ownership</li> <li>2. Review of historical management agreements</li> <li>3. A high level assessment of the current state of the Jetty</li> </ol> This will take some time – we will provide a report and presentation to Sub Council in July.
------------	---

Agenda Item No:	<b>19SUB 10/4/2022</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>QUESTION TABLED FROM THE FLOOR: SIMON'S TOWN ROAD SAFETY PROJECT</b>
Author:	Desiree Mentor;		
How Resolved:	Consensus		
Meeting Date:	2022/04/22		
Outstanding:	13		
Directorate:	CORPORATE SERVICES		
Department:	Subcouncil Area South		

**Preamble:**

The following submission was received from the Simon's Town Civic Association (STCA).

Before Covid took hold and the subsequent declaration of a State of Disaster in South Africa Cape Town experienced a boom in tourism resulting in a substantial increase in traffic in Simon's Town. No significant congruent infrastructure improvements took place to accommodate this increase and it became clear that the traffic flow in and through the town required urgent attention. As it appeared that the City of Cape Town had no effective plan to deal with the situation and in an effort to expedite a solution, the Simon's Town Development Amenities Company (STADCO) drew up an independent plan entitled the Simon's Town Main Road Safety Project (STMSP).

The STMSP was presented and enthusiastically received by Ward Councillors at a meeting in July 2020. Subsequent to that meeting a number of other positive meetings and communications took place between STADCO, Ward Councillors and the City of Cape Town (CoCT), however no tangible results were forthcoming. Concerned with the lack of progress STADCO requested a progress meeting which was held 10 March 2021. At a subsequent meeting on 24 March 2021, assurance was given that the STMSP would be tabled at the following Sub Council meeting. However this did not happen and subsequent communication between the parties culminated in an entirely unsatisfactory response from the CoCT on 23 July 2021.

As the ratepayers representative body for Simon's Town, the Simon's Town Civic Association (STCA) wishes to express its unequivocal support for the STMSP and STADCO in its endeavours to get some action from both the City and Councillors. Furthermore the current Covid restrictions provide the perfect opportunity to undertake the necessary work whilst traffic is at a minimum. There has been no meaningful progress since the original meeting in July 2020, more than a year ago, which is extremely disappointing.

The STCA requested the following:-

1. The status of the STMSP within the City of Cape Town.
1. The formation of a committee of key role players from the CoCT, Sub Council, STADCO and the STCA to ensure agreement on the way forward and to monitor progress.
1. Progress reports and agreed actions on the STMSP tabled at Sub Council meetings until final conclusion.

The Chairperson thanked the STCA for the submission. She added that the concerns would be forwarded to the relevant officials for investigation and feedback.

**Resolution Details:**

**RESOLVED** that,

1. The concerns be forwarded to Brendon Fortuin (Roads Infrastructure Management) for investigation and comment.
1. This item remain on the MRA list until it was resolved.

Agenda Item No:	<b>19SUB 16/4/2022</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>STORMWATER MANAGEMENT: WINTER PREPARATION PLAN PROGRESS REPORT</b>
Author:	Brendon Fortuin;		
How Resolved:	Consensus		
Meeting Date:	2022/04/22		
Outstanding:	13		
Directorate:	URBAN MOBILITY		
Department:	Road Infrastructure Management		

**Preamble:**

Brendon Fortuin was present for this item. He explained that the Stormwater Winter Preparation Plan was implemented annually to limit potential flooding and to maintain the functionality of road stormwater drainage systems.

**Ald Siva Moodley** asked whether a winter preparation plan progress report for Ward 45 could be submitted at the next Subcouncil meeting, as this was a high-risk area that was prone to flooding.

**Resolution Details:**

**RESOLVED** that,

1. The contents of the report be **NOTED**.
1. Grant Rigby submit a stormwater winter preparation plan report for Ward 45 to the next Subcouncil Meeting.
1. An Activity Day be arranged to discuss the water & sanitation problems in Ward 45.

Department: **Road Infrastructure Management**

Responsible Officer(RO): **Grant Rigby**

**Response Date    Comment from RO**

2022/05/05	Currently busy with the report. Hoping to have it done by the end of next week.
------------	---

Agenda Item No:	<b>19SUB 21/4/2022</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>WILDEVOELVLEI WASTE WATER TREATMENTS WORKS (WWTW) UPGRADE</b>
Author:	Keith Olsen		
How Resolved:	Consensus		
Meeting Date:	2022/04/22		
Outstanding:	13		
Directorate:	WATER AND SANITATION		
Department:	Bulk Services		

**Resolution Details:**

**RESOLVED** that,

1. A detailed report of WBS CPX.0010426-F1 Wildevoelvlei WWTW-Upgrade dewatering be submitted to the next Subcouncil meeting.

Department: <b>Bulk Services</b>	Responsible Officer(RO): <b>Keith Olsen</b>
<b>Response Date</b> <b>Comment from RO</b>	

2022/05/10      Report attached.