

Making prograss possible. Together.

# **REPORT TO SUB-COUNCIL 16:**

1. ITEM NUMBER:

16 SUB 46/06/19

2. SUBJECT

REQUEST FOR TRAFFIC CALMING MEASURES: MAIN ROAD, BETWEEN GLENGARRIF AND YORK ROAD, GREEN POINT

3. PURPOSE

To note the request for traffic measures at Main Road, Green point.

#### 4. STRATEGIC INTENT

Safety and Security

#### 5. FOR DECISION BY

For noting by Subcouncil, at this stage.

Delegation: Part 24 9(1) To decide within its area of jurisdiction where traffic calming measures are to be applied within the relevant legislation and policy and after considering a report from the Transport and Urban Development Authority.

#### 6. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The City of Cape Town adopted the new Traffic Calming Policy on 28 January 2016, vide item C26/01/16.

The policy reviews were deemed necessary as traffic calming measures on minor residential roads serve to reduce the risk of death or injury of vulnerable road users, particularly where these measures are implemented at public facilities at which a larger number of pedestrians are most likely to be found.

On the 4/6/19, an application was received for implementing traffic calming measures at Main Road, Green Point. This application is being dealt with in terms of Council's Traffic Calming policy.

#### 7. RECOMMENDATION

	Making progress possible. Together
Ward 57 – Coleridge Road, Salt Rriver	Page 1 of 3

- (a) That the request for implementing traffic calming measures at Main Road, Green Point, as per Annexure E attached to the report be noted.
- (b) That the request in (a) above be referred to the Transport Department for a technical assessment and report to Subcouncil 16.

#### 8. DISCUSSION/CONTENTS

This application is currently being dealt with in terms of Council's traffic calming policy. The Ward Councillor, Ward 57, P Chapple has submitted the attached annexure E in terms of the Council's traffic calming policy.

# 8.1. Constitutional and Policy Implications

In terms of Council's traffic calming policy, the Transport and Urban Development Authority will assess the application and make a recommendation to the Subcouncil for decision.

### 8.2 Environmental implications

	Does your report have any environmental implications:	No 🗵	Yes 🗌
8.3	Staff implications		
	Does your report impact on staff resources or result in any additional staffing resources being required?	No 🛚	Yes [

## 8.3 Other Services Consulted

Transport Department - Mr Deon Manuel

	A STATE OF THE STA	Makin	g pro	gress	possi	ible. 1	logeth	er,
Ward 57 Coleridge Road, Salt Rriver						Pa	ge 2 o	13

#### **ANNEXURES:**

A: Remedial Engineering Measures Programme Screening Guideline: Annexure E

B: Email from Ms Marisa Smalberger

# FOR FURTHER DETAILS CONTACT:

NAME	Vivienne Sasman
CONTACT NUMBERS	021 487 2055
E-MAIL ADDRESS	Vivienne.sasman@capetown.gov,za
DIRECTORATE	Urban Management
FILE REF NO	

**Marius Coetsee** 

Manager: Sub council 16

Date

Making progress possible. Together.

6/6/2019

# **ANNEXURE E**

# REMEDIAL ENGINEERING MEASURES PROGRAMME SCREENING GUIDELINE

IF ASSISTANCE IS REQUIRED TO COMPLETE THIS SCREENING GUIDELINE, PLEASE REQUEST FROM YOUR SUBCOUNCIL

MANAGER THE NAME AND CONTACT DETAILS OF THE LOCAL TRANSPORT DEPARTMENT OFFICIAL						
SUBU	RB					
Sübc	OUNCIL	16				
WARE	)	115				
NAME	OF COUNCILLOR	CLLR DAVE BRYANT				
Снар	PĻE					
LOCA	TION					
STREE	TNAME MAL	y RO				
STREE	ET SECTION(S) BET.	WEEN GLENGARRIF AND YORK RD				
INTER	SECTION S: WIGTOW	N , PINE , GROVE , CLYDE , HILL, STGEORGES	RICHMOND			
	(Describe exactly where	YOU WISH TO SEE REMEDIAL ENGINEERING MEASURES IMPLEMENTED)	FOES			
CLASS	SOFROAD 60 K	m/h speedlimit				
	1.12					
GUIDE	LINES					
1.	IS THE STREET OR INTE	RSECTION USED BY PUBLIC TRANSPORT?	NO			
2.	ARE YOU AWARE OF AN	NY ACCIDENTS AT THE LOCATION IN THE PRECEDING				
	TWELVE MONTHS?		NO			
3.	DOES A HIGH VOLUME	OF TRAFFIC USE THE ROAD?YES/	NO.			
4.	DOES A HIGH VOLUME	OF "RAT RUN" TRAFFIC USE THE ROAD?YES	NO			
5.	IS A SURFACED SIDEWA	ALK PROVIDED ALONG THE ROAD?YES	NO			
6.	ARE THERE MANY ACC	ESSES (DRIVEWAYS) ON THE ROAD?YES	NO			
7.	DO RESIDENTS OR OTH	ER DRIVERS PARK VEHICLES ON THE ROAD?	NO			
8.	DO MANY PEDESTRIANS	S OR CYCLISTS USE THE ROAD?YES	NO			
9.	DO ANY PUBLIC AMENIT	TES ABUT THE ROAD? (XCEEDS & PEED YES	NO			
10.	ARE VEHICLE SPEEDS A	CCEPTABLE OR UNACCEPTABLE?	NO			
11.	IF REMEDIAL ENGINEER	ING MEASURES ARE IMPLEMENTED, COULD THROUGH				
	TRAFFIC BE TRANSFERE	RED TO ANOTHER PARALLEL RESIDENTIAL STREET?YES	ую			

Screening Guideline Page 1 of 2

OTHER COMMENTS Traffic Lights, into vehicle Traffic Lights.  RECORD OTHER LOCAL INSIGHTS INTO TRAFFIC PATTERNS AND / OR DRIVER BEHAVIOUR THAT MIGHT ASSIST THE TRANSPORT DEPARTMENT IN ASSESSING THIS REQUEST  Traffic needs to be called go that vehicles can safely access many the eight intersections  MENTIONED ON DIEVIONS Page.  PUBLIC CONSULTATION  HAS THE PUBLIC BEEN CONSULTED ON THIS REQUEST TO DATE? YES NO  IF YES, PLEASE EXPAND.	Hill &
SKETCH A SKETCH OF THE ROAD AND / OR PICTORIAL DESCRIPTION OF A PROBLEM  G L ANES MAIN ROAD; Y L ANES MAIN ROAD; Y L ANES MAIN ROAD; R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R	
IS FUNDING AVAILABLE FROM THE WARD ALLOCATION OR OTHER SOURCES OR IS FUNDING TO BE SOUGHT ON THE DEPARTMENTAL CAPITAL BUDGET?	
WARD COUNCILLOR'S ENDORSEMENT  I HAVE VISITED THE AREA, SPOKEN TO THE APPLICANT AND RESIDENTS OF THE AFFECTED STREET, AND AM SATISFIED THAT THE REQUEST IS JUSTIFIED / NOT JUSTIFIED. I DO / DO NOT RECOMMEND THAT THIS REQUEST FOR REMEDIAL ENGINEERING MEASURES BE TRANSMITTED TO THE TRANSPORT DEPARTMENT FOR TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT.	
PRINT NAME SIGNATURE DATE Screening Guideline Page 2 of 2	]